

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,791		08/25/2003	Christopher J. Edge	01202US (EKC 89929)	2009
1333	7590	08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
BETH RE		MA EE	EVANS, FANNIE L		
PATENT I EASTMAN		K COMPANY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 STATI	E STREE	T	2877		
ROCHEST	ER, NY	14650-2201	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

88
SV

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/647,791	EDGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	F. L. Evans	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2004.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is .					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-41</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>27-41</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,11,12,14,19,20 and 25</u> is/are rej	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,11,12,14,19,20 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-5,8-10,13,15-18,21-24 and 26</u> is/are	objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> is/are:	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	·	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0404,1104.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					

#### DETAILED ACTION

## The Information Disclosure Statements

The prior art cited in the information disclosure statements filed on April 15, 2004 and November 8, 2004 has been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14, 19, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Shakespeare et al (US 6,760,103 B2).

Shakespeare et al disclose a system comprising: an output medium (102); a gray backing material (400) upon which is placed a first side of the output medium (102); and a measurement device (100, 108, 150) oriented and capable of measuring color values (lines 45 and 46 of column 8) for imagery formed on a second side of the output medium (102). The output medium could be paper. The measurement device could be a spectrometer/spectrophotometer (lines 27-47 of column 5). Applicant's attention is directed to Shakespeare et al in its entirety with particular attention directed to Fig. 4.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 1, 6, 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shakespeare et al (US 6,760,103 B2).

Shakespeare et al disclose a system for measuring the color of a surface of an output medium while placed on a gray backing material. See the rejection of claims 14, 19, 20 and 26, above. The system performs the steps of placing a first side of an output medium on a gray backing material and measuring color values on a second side of the output medium. Shakespeare et al do not specifically disclose measuring color values for **imagery** formed on the second side.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the method of Shakespeare et al could have been used for measuring color values of any imagery formed on the second side of the output medium, if desired, because the color detection of Shakespeare et al measures the color values of the surface of the second side of the output medium (102) and feature thereon.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-41 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 2-5, 8-10, 13, 15-18, 21-24 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to independent claims 27, 32 and 37, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the use of a gray backing material, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims.

As to dependent claims 2-5, 8, 9, 13, 15-18, 21, 22, 24 and 26, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the features set forth in the body of these claims, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims.

# Fax/Telephone Numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is (571) 272-2414.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. L EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2877

fle August 4, 2005